



Treatment for alcohol dependence in primary care compared to specialist care: a randomized controlled trial

2017-01-12

Sara Wallhed Finn Clinical Psychologist/Doctoral student Riddargatan 1, Addiction center Stockholm Social Medicine, Karolinska Institutet





Treatment gap





Barriers to seeking treatment

1. Stigma/shame



Ref:

Wallhed Finn et al., 2014 *Sub use and misuse;* Andréasson et al., 2013 *Alcohol & Alcoholism;* Schomerus et al., 2011 *Alcohol & Alcoholism*



Primary care

One way to reduce the stigma is to also offer treatment in primary care

Screening and brief interventions in primary care (PC) (Alvarez-Bueno et al., 2015; O´Donnell et al., 2014)

Few studies of alcohol dependence treatment in PC (Berger et al., 2013; O´Malley et al., 2013; Oslin et al., 2013; Karhuvaara et al., 2007; Kiritze-Topot et al., 2004; Drummond et al., 1990)





The "15 method" AUDIT>15; 15 minutes





The study

- Aim: to study the effects of the 15-method in primary care compared to treatment as usual in a specialist addiction unit
- Method: RCT, non-inferiority
- Hypothesis: the 15-method carried out in primary care, is equally effective as treatment as usual in a specialized addiction unit.
- Participants: 288 adults fulfilling criteria for alcohol dependence



CTAP TREATMENT OF ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE IN PRIMARY CARE



Outcome measures



Primary:

 change of weekly alcohol consumption measured in grams of alcohol, assessed with TLFB30

Secondary:

- days with heavy drinking per week (TLFB30)
- hazardous and harmful drinking (AUDIT)
- degree of alcohol dependence (ICD-10 criteria & SADD)
- consequences of drinking (SIP)
- symptoms of anxiety and depression (HADS)
- health related quality of life (EQ 5D-5L)
- biomarkers (CDT, AST, ALT & GGT)
- satisfaction with treatment (CSQ)
- 6 months follow up





Participants





Variable		SC (n=144)	PC (n=144)
Female	% (n)	47 (67)	43 (62)
Age	mean (SD) range	54 (12) 25-79	56 (11) 23-77
Education 12 years or less > 12 years	% (n)	44 (63) 56 (81)	45 (65) 54 (78)
Source of income employment pension other	% (n)	73 (104) 22 (31) 5 (7)	74 (105) 22 (31) 4 (6)
Civil status married/co-habiting live alone	% (n)	64 (92) 36 (51)	58 (83) 42 (60)





Participants with >0 visits

		SC (n=138)	PC (n=133)
Feedback only	% (n)	4% (6)	10% (13)
Pharmacological treatment (only)	% (n)	18% (25)	13% (17)
Psychological treatment (only)	% (n)	33% (45)	20% (27)
Pharmacological and psychological	% (n)		
treatment		45% (62)	57% (76)
Number of visits	mean (SD) range	4.9 (2.7) 1-14	3.1 (1.4) 1-6





Weekly consumption of alcohol in grams at baseline and 6 months follow up (n=228)





Which means...

 Patients in primary care drank 29.8 grams more per week (95% CI -10.2 - 69.7; p-value 0.15) compared to patients treated in specialist setting.



More results







Variable		SU Baseline n=144	SU 6 months n=119	PC Baseline n=144	PC 6 months n=109	p value
Weekly consumption of alcohol (gram)	mean (SD)	343.3 (324.8)	181.9 (142.8)	367.4 (215.8)	224.2 (224.5)	0.464
Heavy drinking (days)	mean (SD)	11.9 (8.0)	6.8 (7.8)	13.1 (8.0)	7.9 (8.9)	0.954
ICD-10	mean (SD)	4.3 (1.0)	2.2 (1.7)	4.2 (1.9)	2.1 (1.7)	0.900
AUDIT	mean (SD)	23.2 (4.9)	13.5 (6.5)	22.4 (5.9)	14.1 (7.0)	0.325
SIP	mean (SD)	16.0 (6.5)	7.8 (5.7)	14.9 (7.0)	8.0 (5.8)	0.234
CDT	mean (SD)	2.3 (2.0)	1.9 (1.7)	2.5 (2.1)	2.4 (2.0)	0.668



Outcomes in proportions

At 6 months follow up	PC n=109	SC n=119	р
Alcohol consumption below the Swedish national guidelines for hazardous consumption	17 %	19 %	0.84
AUDIT score men 0-7; women 0-5	10 %	10 %	1.00
ICD 10 criteria 0-2	65 %	59 %	0.39
AUDIT score =<19	81 %	81 %	1.00



From 31 to 19









Moderate dependence
3-4 ICD-10 criteria



17.0 grams (95% CI -21.1 - 55.0) p-value 0.38 Severe dependence
5-6 ICD-10 criteria

57.0 grams (95% CI -23.7 - 137.8) p-value 0.17



Conclusions

- Alcohol dependence, and especially individuals with moderate dependence, can be succesfully treated by general practitioners in primary care.
- The results indicate that a larger proportion of those in need can get access to effective alcohol treatment.









Victoria Andersson Project coordinator Nurse



Anders Hammarberg PhD, Co supervisor

Thank you!



Sven Wåhlin MD