

Paragraafid

Lehekülje nr.

Kasutatud kirjandus

1

Kasutatud Kirjandus

Juhend

1. Ennetuse teadusnõukogu. Tervisesõnastik [kasutatud 23.07.2025]. Ennetuse sõnavara; 2022.
https://tervisesonastik.tai.ee/tervisesonastik?keys=ennetuse%20teadusn%C3%B5ukogu&alphabetical_group=All&page=1
2. Õunapuu L. Kvalitatiivne ja kvantitatiivne uurimisviis sotsiaalteadustes. Kärner E, toim. Tartu Ülikool; 2014.
3. Gottfredson DC, Cook TD, Gardner FEM jt. Standards of Evidence for Efficacy, Effectiveness, and Scale-up Research in Prevention Science: Next Generation. Prevention Science. 2015 Oct 29;16(7):893-926.
4. Streimann K, Pertel T, Vilms T jt. Ennetuse käsiraamat: sõltuvusainete tarvitamise ennetuse teaduslik käsitus otsustajatele, arvamusiidritele ja poliitikakujundajatele. Kohandatud Euroopa ennetusõppekava (EMCDDA) põhjal. Tallinn: Tervise Arengu Instituut; 2020.
5. Edovald T, Firpo T, Roberts I. Running randomised controlled trials in innovation, entrepreneurship and growth. 2016 May.
6. Gupta K, Attri J, Singh A jt. Basic concepts for sample size calculation: Critical step for any clinical trials! Saudi J Anaesth. 2016;10(3):328.
7. Serdar CC, Cihan M, Yücel D jt. Sample size, power and effect size revisited: simplified and practical approaches in pre-clinical, clinical and laboratory studies. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2021 Feb 15;31(1):27-53.

8. SPIRIT-CONSORT Group. SPIRIT-CONSORT website. [kasutatud 01.08.2025]. Extensions of the SPIRIT and CONSORT Statements. <https://www.consort-spirit.org/extensions>
9. Hopewell S, Chan AW, Collins GS jt. CONSORT 2025 statement: updated guideline for reporting randomised trials. BMJ. 2025 Apr 14;e081123.
10. Streimann K, Selart A, Trummal A. Effectiveness of a Universal, Classroom-Based Preventive Intervention (PAX GBG) in Estonia: a Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. Prevention Science. 2020 Feb 1;21(2):234–44.
11. Edovald T, Öeren M. Kontrollnimekiri juhuslikustatud kontrolluuringu läbiviimiseks SPIN-programmi mõju hindamisel. Tallinn; 2023.
12. Edovald T. Kontrafaktuaalne mõju hindamine: disainidest, rakendusvõimalustest ja väljakutsetest. 2023.
13. Leetmaa R, Vörk A, Eamets R jt. Aktiivse tööpoliitika tulemuslikkuse analüüs Eestis. Tallinn; 2003.
14. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE jt. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2008 Apr 26;336(7650):924–6.
15. Laisaar KT. Kuidas tervist edendavaid, (tervise)probleeme ennetavaid sekkumisi hinnata? 2022.
16. Tael-Öeren M, Naughton F, Sutton S. A parent-oriented alcohol prevention program “Effekt” had no impact on adolescents’ alcohol use: Findings from a cluster-randomized controlled trial in Estonia. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019 Jan 1;194:279–87.
17. Tartu Ülikool, Maaailma Terviseorganisatsioon, Eesti Haigekassa. Eesti ravijuhendite koostamise käsiraamat [Internet]. 2011 [kasutatud 14.08.2024]. https://www.ravijuhend.ee/uploads/userfiles/ravijuhendi_kasiraamat_est.pdf
18. Chan AW, Boutron I, Hopewell S jt. SPIRIT 2025 statement: updated guideline for protocols of randomised trials. BMJ. 2025 Apr 28;389:e081477.
19. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I jt. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ. 2014 Mar 7;348(mar07 3):g1687–g1687.
20. Dobias ML. OSF. 2022 [kasutatud 31.07.2025]. AsPredicted Easy-Use Template & Tips for Pre-Registration Beginners. <https://osf.io/byu28>
21. Streimann K, Trummal A, Klandorf K jt. Effectiveness of a universal classroom-based preventive intervention (PAX GBG): A research protocol for a matched-pair cluster-randomized controlled trial. Contemp Clin Trials Commun. 2017;8.
22. Drummond ME, Sculpher MJ, Torrance GW jt. Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes. Oxford University PressOxford; 2005.
23. Tervisetehnoloogiate hindamise keskus. Tervisetehnoloogiate hindamise Eesti juhend [Internet]. Tartu; 2024 [kasutatud 7.10.2025]. <https://dSPACE.ut.ee/server/api/core/bitstreams/87ab576b-ff41-43a9-aa33-1e25bd9c7a8e/content>
24. Neumann PJ, Ganiats TG, Russell LB jt, toim. Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. Oxford University Press; 2016.

25. Hale J. What contribution can health economics make to health promotion? *Health Promot Int*. 2000 Dec 1;15(4):341-8.
26. Mosadeghrad AM, Jaafari-pooyan E, Zamandi M. Economic Evaluation of Health Interventions: A Critical Review. *Iran J Public Health*. 2022 Oct 24;
27. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. NICE process and methods. 2022 [kasutatud 08.08.2025]. NICE health technology evaluations: the manual. <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg36/chapter/economic-evaluation-2>
28. Cox E, Walker S, Blower S jt. The cost-effectiveness of a proportionate parenting programme for primary caregivers and their child: an economic evaluation using evidence from the E-SEE Trial. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2022 Dec 23;22(1):814.
29. Schweitzer J, Synowiec C. The Economics of eHealth and mHealth. *J Health Commun*. 2012 May 2;17(sup1):73-81.
30. Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F jt. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. *BMC Med*. 2022 Dec 12;20(1):23.
31. Drummond M. F, Sculpher MJ, Claxton K jt. *Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press; 2015.
32. Schepers J, Annemans L. The potential health and economic effects of plant-based food patterns in Belgium and the United Kingdom. *Nutrition*. 2018 Apr;48:24-32.
-

[Loe edasi →](#)